PAPER

The potential of FAST in detecting celestial hydroxyl masers and related science topics

To cite this article: Jiang-Shui Zhang et al 2019 Res. Astron. Astrophys. 19 022

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

Recent citations

- FAST: Its Scientific Achievements and Prospects Lei Qian *et al*

The potential of FAST in detecting celestial hydroxyl masers and related science topics

Jiang-Shui Zhang¹, Di Li^{2,3,4}, Jun-Zhi Wang⁵, Qing-Feng Zhu⁶ and Juan Li⁵

¹ Center for Astrophysics, Guangzhou University, Guangzhou 510006 China; *jszhang@gzhu.edu.cn*

- ² National Astronomical Observatories, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China
- ³ Key Laboratory of FAST, National Astronomical Observatories, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China
- ⁴ School of Astronomy and Space Science, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 101408, China
- ⁵ Shanghai Astronomical Observatory, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 200030, China
- ⁶ University of Science and Technology of China, Chinese Academy of Science, Hefei 230026, China

Received 2018 February 6; accepted 2018 June 5

Abstract The Five-hundred-meter Aperture Spherical radio Telescope (FAST) will make contributions to studies of Galactic and extragalactic masers. This telescope, with construction finished and now undergoing commissioning, has an innovative design that leads to the highest sensitivity of any single dish radio telescope in the world. FAST's potential for OH megamaser research is discussed, including the sky density of masers detectable in surveys. The scientific impact expected from FAST maser studies is also discussed.

Key words: masers — instrumentation: sensitivity — instrumentation: detectability — sky density — galaxies: interactions

1 INTRODUCTION

The Five-hundred-meter Aperture Spherical radio Telescope (FAST) has been constructed successfully and is being tested now. Compared to existing single dish radio telescopes (e.g., Arecibo 305 m, the Green Bank Telescope (GBT) 100 m, Effelsberg 100 m and five \sim 70 m ones at different locations around the world), FAST has many advantages, namely, much better sensitivity due to its unprecedentedly large collecting area and radio quiet environment available at its unique location.

The frequency range of FAST is from 70 MHz to 3 GHz, within which a main goal of FAST should be OH maser studies. Although the CH₃OH maser ($J_K = 1_1$, ν :834 MHz) is another candidate for FAST targets, the only detection of this line was more than 40 years ago (Ball et al. 1970). OH masers ($^{2}\Pi_{3/2} J = 3/2$, ν : 1612, 1665, 1667 and 1720 MHz) have been studied for 50 years or so, since their discovery in the 1960s (Weaver et al. 1965). Presently more than 3000 OH masers were mostly found in dense molecular gas with star formation (interstellar masers) and in the molecular circumstellar en-

velopes of evolved giant and supergiant stars (circumstellar masers, Reid 2002). In addition, one type of OH maser with only 1720 MHz emission (with the other three lines being weak or absent) was detected toward supernova remnants (SNRs), which is believed to be an unambiguous indicator of SNR shock interactions with molecular clouds (e.g., Frail et al. 1996; Hewitt et al. 2008).

With improved sensitivity and spectral resolution, OH maser emission was also detected in external galaxies (e.g., Whiteoak & Gardner 1974; Baan et al. 1982). To date, OH maser emission has been reported from 119 galaxies (e.g., Darling & Giovanelli 2000; Chen et al. 2007; Fernandez et al. 2010; Willett et al. 2011; Willett 2012; Zhang et al. 2014). Most of them (106/119) have isotropic luminosity larger than $10 L_{\odot}$ (also known as "megamasers"), i.e., a million times more luminous than typical Galactic OH masers. OH megamaser (hereafter OHM) emission is mostly detected in the main line of 1667 MHz, while the 1665 MHz main line is weak or absent. The most luminous and distant 1667 MHz OHM is IRAS 14070+0525 $(z \sim 0.265, \text{Baan et al. 1992})$. The satellite transitions at 1612 MHz and/or 1720 MHz were only detected in a few nearby galaxies (e.g., McBride & Heiles 2013). One gravitational lens source, PMN J0134–0931, has been detected at 1720 MHz at $z \sim 0.765$ (Kanekar et al. 2005).

OHM emission normally has different properties from those of Galactic OH masers. The OHM linewidth (individual components: $>10 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and total linewidths: \sim 100–1000 km s⁻¹) is at least one order of magnitude broader than that of Galactic OH masers (typically narrower than 1 km s^{-1} , Lockett & Elitzur 2008). OHM emission (mainly at 1667 MHz) is often unpolarized, while the emission of Galactic masers (mainly at 1665 MHz) is polarized. Different properties of Galactic OH masers and OHMs should reflect differences in the environment in which the masing occurs and in the excitation mechanism (McBride & Heiles 2013). The hosts of OHMs are mostly luminous infrared galaxies (LIRGs, $L_{\rm FIR} > 10^{11} L_{\odot}$, 102/106) and many are ultra luminous infrared galaxies (ULIRGs, $L_{\rm FIR} > 10^{12} L_{\odot}$, 35/106). High infrared luminosity galaxies show signs of interaction or merging based on optical imaging observations (Clements et al. 1996). Thus OHMs are believed to be good tracers of galaxy interaction or mergers, and the OHM detection rate increases with the far infrared luminosity of the maser host galaxy, up to $\frac{1}{2}$ for ULIRGs (Darling & Giovanelli 2002).

In the following, expectations for FAST observational studies of both Galactic OH masers and extragalactic OH masers are investigated.

2 RELEVANT TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS

The illuminated aperture of FAST has a 300 m diameter (Nan et al. 2011). Assuming an aperture efficiency of 0.65, its effective collecting area is $\sim 46\,000\,\text{m}^2$, which gives an expected gain of $\frac{A_{\text{eff}}}{2760} = 16.6 \,\text{K}\,\text{Jy}^{-1}$ at L-band. The system temperature of FAST is ~ 20 K thanks to its up-to-date receiving system (L-band). Compared with the Arecibo telescope, FAST promises three times better raw sensitivity, which corresponds to about 10 times higher surveying speed (Nan et al. 2011). The site in a deep depression and the feed cabin suspension system enable the FAST reflector to have a large opening angle, covering a zenith angle up to 40° with a fully illuminated 300 m diameter (Nan et al. 2011). At a latitude of $N25^{\circ}39'10''$, the declination δ of FAST ranges from about -15 to 65 degrees. Thus the observable solid angle can be estimated: $\omega = \int_{25^{\circ}}^{105^{\circ}} 2\pi \sin \delta d\delta \simeq 2.33\pi \text{ ster} \ (\sim 24\,000 \text{ square de-})$ grees), which is about $\sim 60\%$ of the whole sky.

The FAST frequency range is covered continuously by nine sets of receivers (Nan et al. 2011; Li et al. 2013). Among those receivers, the L-wide single beam receiver (No. 7, 1.2–1.8 GHz) can be employed to detect both

Galactic and extragalactic OH masers. Observations of OHMs in nearby galaxies can use the 19 beam L-narrow array (No. 8, 1.05–1.45 GHz). In addition, No. 3 (0.28–0.56 GHz) and No. 4 (0.56–1.02 GHz) receivers can be utilized to detect high redshift OH maser sources (OHMs or gigamasers, Zhang et al. 2012).

3 FAST POTENTIAL FOR MASER OBSERVATIONS

3.1 Galactic OH Masers

Surveys, both blind and targeted, facilitate statistical analysis and also result in serendipitous discovery of interesting objects. For Galactic OH masers, blind sky surveys were mostly performed in the 1970s and 1980s with the Parkes 64 m, Onsala 25.6 m, NRAO 42 m and Effelsberg 100 m telescopes (e.g., Johansson et al. 1977; Baud et al. 1979; Caswell et al. 1980; Caswell & Haynes 1983; Caswell & Haynes 1987; Sevenster et al. 1997). Meanwhile, targeted Galactic OH maser searches were also performed. Target sources mainly include color-selected IRAS sources (e.g., Eder et al. 1988; Lewis et al. 1990; te Lintel Hekkert 1991; Sevenster et al. 2001), star-forming-regions (e.g., Szymczak & Gérard 2004; Wouterloot et al. 1993) and high mass protostellar objects (e.g., Edris et al. 2007).

FAST has a much bigger collecting area, with a sensitivity at least one order of magnitude better than the telescopes used in blind Galactic surveys in the 1970s and 1980s. To achieve the same sensitivity limit, the FAST surveying speed would need to be 2 orders of magnitude better. This enables high efficiency for both sample selection surveys and Galactic plane blind sky surveys. Many more detections will allow for detailed statistical analysis and kinematic studies of interesting sources. The Galactic distribution of OH/IR stars (stars with OH maser emission at 1612 MHz) may provide another good tracer of Galactic structure.

The 1720 MHz OH masers associated with SNRs were detected in 10% of Galactic SNRs (e.g., Wardle & McDonnell 2012), which are pumped in warm (50~125 K) and dense molecular gas environments (Lockett et al. 1999). This type of maser has a typical linewidth of $\sim 1-3 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. Observations with five minute integrations give root mean square (rms) noise larger than 20 mJy in previous surveys (Frail et al. 1996; Hewitt et al. 2008). Assuming a system temperature of $\sim 20 \text{ K}$ and a channel spacing of 0.8 km s^{-1} , the rms value of FAST in five minutes is $\sim 1 \text{ mJy}$. With a sensitivity at least 20 times better, FAST will greatly improve the detection of this type of OH maser. It will be of great benefit to understanding the inter-

action between SNRs and adjacent molecular clouds, and further related questions, such as acceleration of relativistic particles and the structure and physical conditions of the Galactic interstellar medium (Green et al. 1997).

In addition, FAST with much better sensitivity will make it possible in principle to detect Galactic analog OH masers in both massive star formation regions and circumstellar envelopes of evolved stars in very nearby galaxies, e.g., M33 and M31. Comprehensive and comparative analysis of similar OH masers in our Galaxy and nearby galaxies will help to probe various important astrophysical processes related to OH masers. Assuming a typical spectral channel of $4.5 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$, FAST achieves spectral rms of 0.13 mJy in one hour of integration time; the three sigma detection threshold is \sim 0.4 mJy. Thus OH masers with flux density larger than \sim 4 Jy (typical OH maser in our Galaxy) in M33 or M31 (distance \sim 800 kpc) can be detected. Very long baseline interferometry (VLBI) observations of the masers in M33 and/or M31 would permit accurate measurements of their proper motion and thus reveal the three dimensional kinematics of the Local Group (Brunthaler et al. 2005).

3.2 OH Megamasers

Surveys of extragalactic OH maser emission have been carried out with the Arecibo 305 m, NRAO 91 m, JB MkIA 76 m, Nancay 300 m and Parkes 64 m telescopes. Most detections come from the Arecibo telescope and the upgraded Arecibo survey is the most successful one to date (Darling & Giovanelli 2000, 2001, 2002). A sample of 311 LIRGs or ULIRGs was selected from the IRAS Point Source Catalog Redshift Survey (15000 IRAS galaxies with $f_{60 \mu m} > 0.6 \text{ Jy over } 84\%$ of the sky, Saunders et al. 2000), with a declination range of 0° -37° (Arecibo sky coverage) and a redshift z range of 0.1–0.45. The lower limit of redshift was set to avoid local radio frequency interference (RFI), while the upper limit was determined by the bandpass of the wide L-band receiver at Arecibo. (The actual upper limit is around $z \sim 0.23$ due to the RFI environment.) The detection rate was high ($\sim 17\%$) and 52 detections doubled the number of known OHMs. Recently, one systematic search for high-redshift OHMs was carried out with the GBT (Willett 2012). Nine new OHMs (z < 0.25) were detected, with a detection rate of $\sim 7\%$ from a sample of 121 ULIRGs with 0.09 < z < 1.5.

3.2.1 OHM detectability with FAST

By comparison with the upgraded Arecibo OHM survey, we estimate the FAST detectability of OHM emission. Following Darling & Giovanelli (2002), we assume that the integrated line flux can be approximated by

$$\int S \,\mathrm{d}V = f_{\mathrm{peak}} \frac{\Delta\nu_0}{1+z} = f_{\mathrm{peak}} \frac{\nu_0 \,\Delta V_0}{c(1+z)},\qquad(1)$$

where ν_0 and $\Delta\nu_0$ are the rest-frame frequency and average frequency width respectively; ΔV_0 is the rest-frame velocity width, with a typical value of $150 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$. Thus the maser luminosity can be estimated as

$$L_{\rm OH} = 4 \pi D_L^2 \int S \, \mathrm{d}V = 4 \pi D_L^2 f_{\rm peak} \, \frac{\nu_0 \, \Delta \, V_0}{c(1+z)}.$$
 (2)

The luminosity distance D_L is derived using the NASA Extragalactic Database (NED) calculator, assuming Ω_M = 0.270, $\Omega_{\rm vac}$ = 0.730 and H_0 = $70 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1} \, Mpc^{-1}}$ (e.g., Spergel et al. 2007). With a 12 min integration time and a channel width of \sim 24.4 kHz (25 MHz/1024 channels, which correspond to velocity spacing of \sim 4.5 km s⁻¹), the typical rms flux density of Arecibo is 0.65 mJy and the detection threshold at the 3σ level is about 2 mJy (Darling & Giovanelli 2002). With three times better raw sensitivity and the same integration time and channel width, the rms noise value of FAST is about 0.22 mJy and the 3σ level corresponds to 0.66 mJy (f_{peak}) . Then, using Equation (2), the OHM luminosity for a 3σ level FAST detection, with integration time of 12 min, can be derived as a function of redshift z and is plotted in Figure 1. The Arecibo survey OHM detections (black dots) and its sensitivity limit (short black line) are included. In addition, the case of a \sim 2 hour FAST integration time is plotted, which corresponds to 3σ detection with $f_{\text{peak}} \sim 0.2 \text{ mJy}$. It shows that, with an integration time of 12 min, FAST could detect all gigamasers $(L_{\rm OH} > 10^6 L_{\odot})$ with redshift z < 4 and most OHMs with $L_{\rm OH} > 10^3 L_{\odot}$ out to $z \sim 1$, and even some out to $z \sim 2$. For the case of a two hour integration, the majority of OHMs with $L_{\rm OH}$ > $10^2 \, L_{\odot}$ could be detected out to redshift $z \sim 1$. All OHMs with $L_{
m OH} > 10^3 L_{\odot}$ can be detected out to $z \sim 2$, and even some out to $z \sim 3$ (No. 3 and 4 receivers). Moreover, as is well known, the OHM line has a linewidth of hundreds of km s^{-1} . Thus a spacing of tens of km s^{-1} is normally good enough for line detection. Assuming a velocity spacing of $\sim 20 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$ and lower system temperature of ~20 K, FAST with 12 min integration gives rms value of $\sim 2.0 \,\text{mK}$, which corresponds to 0.12 mJy. In this case, derived minimum detectable OH maser luminosities for 12 min and a two hour integration

are also plotted in Figure 1, which shows much better expectations.

3.2.2 OHM sky density

The detectable OHM numbers depend mainly on the sky density of OHMs, which can be estimated from the OHM luminosity function. The luminosity function from the Arecibo survey is $\phi(L_{\rm OH}) = \frac{dN}{dV d \log L_{\rm OH}} = 9.8 \times 10^{-6} L_{\rm OH}^{-0.64} \,\rm Mpc^{-3} \,\rm dex^{-1}$ (Darling & Giovanelli 2002), and a new consistent result of $\phi(L_{\rm OH}) = 1.23 \times 10^{-5} L_{\rm OH}^{-0.66} \,\rm Mpc^{-3} \,\rm dex^{-1}$ was obtained by combining the new Arecibo and GBT detections (Willett 2012). The number of OHMs per solid angle and per frequency range can be determined (Darling & Giovanelli 2002)

$$\frac{dN}{d\Omega \, d\nu} = \int_{\log L_{\rm OH}^{\rm inn}}^{\log L_{\rm OH}^{\rm inn}} \frac{dV}{d\Omega \, d\nu} \frac{dN}{dV \, d\log L_{\rm OH}} \, d\log L_{\rm OH}$$

$$= \frac{dV}{d\Omega \, d\nu} \int_{\log L_{\rm OH}^{\rm inn}}^{\log L_{\rm OH}^{\rm max}} \phi(L_{\rm OH}) \, d\log L_{\rm OH} \, .$$
(3)

Here, $L_{\rm OH}^{\rm min}$ is the minimum detectable OH luminosity for FAST (12 min integration, $3\sigma \sim 0.7\,{\rm mJy}$ or

0.36 mJy, assuming a velocity spacing of ~4.5 km s⁻¹ or ~20 km s⁻¹, respectively, see Sect. 3.2.1) which has been estimated using Equation (2). $L_{\rm OH}^{\rm max}$ is assumed to be $10^{4.4} L_{\odot}$ from Darling & Giovanelli (2002) and $\frac{dV}{d\Omega d\nu}$ is given by Weinberg (1972). Thus the sky density as a function of redshift can be derived using the luminosity function mentioned above as

$$\frac{dN}{d\Omega \, d\nu} = k \, \frac{z^2}{\sqrt{\Omega_M \, (1+z)^3 + \Omega_\Lambda}} \, (L_{\min}^{-0.64} - L_{\max}^{-0.64}) \tag{4}$$

where $k = 0.0778 L_{\odot}^{-1} \text{ MHz}^{-1} \text{ deg}^{-2}$.

The merger and interaction rate of galaxies was larger in the past with the rate rising in proportion to $(1 + z)^m$ (different *m* values in the literature are ~1–8, e.g., Kim & Sanders 1998; Briggs 1998; Le Fèvre et al. 2000). So, the sky density of OHMs should also increase with redshift by a factor of $(1 + z)^m$, under conditions that OHMs are good tracers of galaxy mergers. Assuming a turnover of evolution at z = 2.5 (Briggs 1998; i.e., the merger rate is proportional to $(1+z)^m$ for $z \le 2.5$, but stays constant for z > 2.5), then the sky density of OHMs becomes

$$\frac{dN}{d\Omega \, d\nu} = \begin{cases} (1+z)^m \, k \, \frac{z^2}{\sqrt{\Omega_M \, (1+z)^3 + \Omega_\Lambda}} (L_{\min}^{-0.64} - L_{\max}^{-0.64}), & z \le 2.5 \,, \end{cases}$$
(5)

$$\Omega \, d\nu \qquad \left\{ \begin{array}{c} (1+2.5)^m \, k \, \frac{z^2}{\sqrt{\Omega_M \, (1+z)^3 + \Omega_\Lambda}} (L_{\min}^{-0.64} - L_{\max}^{-0.64}), \quad z > 2.5 \,. \end{array} \right. \tag{6}$$

From Equations (5) and (6), the OHM sky density per square degree per 50 MHz bandpass is estimated and plotted in Figure 2, including cases of m = 0 (without evolution for the merger rate), m = 2 and m = 4. The detection rate can be predicted for different cases of merger rate evolution. For example, in the case of m = 4 and a sensitivity of $\sim 0.36 \text{ mJy}$ (assuming a velocity spacing of $\sim 20 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1}}$), a few OHMs at $z \sim 2$ per square degree per 50 MHz bandwidth would be likely detected by FAST, with an integration time of 12 min. Dozens of OHMs per square degree within the redshift range 0.6 < z < 2 (No. 4 receiver, with a bandwidth of \sim 500 MHz) may be detected. Even for the case of m = 0 and a sensitivity of $\sim 0.7 \text{ mJy}$, the number of OHMs with redshift z < 0.5 is expected to be more than 2000 in the FAST sky area (\sim 24000 square degrees), which will increase the current number of OHMs by 20 times or more.

However, the RFI limits should be considered for OHM surveys at high redshift, as was considered for the Arecibo OHM survey mentioned in Section 3.2. A radio quiet zone of 5 km around the FAST site has been approved to forbid placement of any new radio transmitters. A 5-10 km radio controlled zone is also established to require coordination with the FAST project for any new radio transmitters. Within the quiet zone, there is no cell phone base station and we expect the main challenge would be to mitigate our self-generated interference. The L-band RFI environment of the FAST site has been excellent in various tests, some of which were done for Square Kilometre Array (SKA) site selection. We expect that RFI will not limit our capability for detecting OH megamasers to higher redshifts except for certain satellite and airport radar bands around 800 MHz ($z \sim 1$).



Fig.1 The minimum detectable OH maser luminosity (3σ) as a function of redshift, assuming a velocity spacing of ~4.5 km s⁻¹ (*dash-dotted lines*) and ~20 km s⁻¹ (*solid lines*). Cases for 12 min and 2 h integrations are both presented. *Black dots* and *short line*: detected OHMs and sensitivity of the Arecibo survey respectively.

3.2.3 OHM related science

H₂O disk megamasers, with maser spots in a rotating molecular accretion disk around the nuclear engine $(\leq 1 \text{ pc})$, have become a promising tool to probe the innermost region of active galactic nuclei (AGNs) (e.g., Morganti et al. 2004) and have important potential for studying cosmology, such as accurately determining the Hubble constant H_0 and constraining the equation of state for the elusive dark energy (Braatz et al. 2009). Unlike H₂O megamasers, OHM spots are located normally on larger scales (\sim 100 pc, Lo 2005) from the nucleus within the host galaxy, which may not be good tracers of the circumnuclear accretion disk and further related cosmology. However, as mentioned above, OHMs are believed to be good tracers of galaxy interaction or mergers, so OHMs could be applied to galaxy-merger-related fields, such as the history of a galaxy merger, the star formation history of the universe and gravitational waves. Given that the merger rate of galaxies is proportional to $(1 + z)^m$, OHM surveys in the range 400 to 1000 MHz may provide an independent measure of the merger rate by determining the density of merging galaxies as a function of redshift (e.g., Briggs 1998). OHM emission is suggested to be physically related to intense starburst activity from galaxy mergers, which was supported by existing interferometric studies of several OHM galaxies (e.g., Lo 2005) and statistical analysis of the relation $L_{\rm OH} \propto L_{\rm FIR}^{1.2\pm0.1}$ (e.g., Darling & Giovanelli 2002). Thus, detected OHMs at different distances should be valuable for understanding the star formation history. In



Fig. 2 Detection rate of OH megmasers as a function of redshift (or frequency) with FAST 12 min integration (*dashed lines*: $3\sigma \sim 0.7$ mJy and *solid lines*: $3\sigma \sim 0.36$ mJy): m = 0 for constant merger rate; m = 2 and 4 for merger rate proportional to $(1+z)^2$ and $(1+z)^4$ respectively. The *solid vertical line* indicates the turnover redshift for merger rate (z = 2.5). The frequency ranges of three FAST receivers are also indicated in *dashed lines*.

addition, galaxy mergers may produce binary supermassive black holes that in turn produce bursts of gravitational waves (e.g., Jaffe & Backer 2003).

4 SUMMARY

We present the potential for FAST detections of Galactic masers and extragalactic masers. The innovative design and superior sensitivity of FAST could have a significant impact on fields related to masers, particulary hydroxyl megamasers.

- FAST, with high sensitivity, will enable high efficiency in Galactic OH maser surveys and improve detection of 1720 MHz OH masers related to the interaction between SNRs and adjacent molecular clouds. FAST makes it possible to detect Galactic analog OH masers in very nearby galaxies, within an integration time of hours (e.g., M31 and M33).
- (2) Within a reasonable integration time, FAST can detect the majority of OHMs with L_{OH} > 10² L_☉ out to redshift z ~1 and all OHMs with L_{OH} > 10³ L_☉ out to z ~2, even some out to z ~3.
- (3) The sky density of detectable OHMs is derived as a function of redshift, based on the luminosity function of OHMs and merging evolution scenario parameterized by (1 + z)^m. For the case of m = 4 and a sensitivity of ~0.36 mJy (assuming a velocity spacing of ~4.5 km s⁻¹), with an integration time of 12 min, a few OHMs at z ~2 and dozens of OHMs within the redshift range 0.6 < z < 2 per square degree per</p>

50 MHz bandwidth would be likely detected by the future operation of FAST. Even for the case of a constant merger rate (m = 0) and a sensitivity of ~0.7 mJy, the number of detected OHMs with redshift z < 0.5 could be expected to increase 20 times or more, within the FAST sky coverage (~24 000 square degrees).

Acknowledgements This work is supported by the China Ministry of Science and Technology under the National Basic Research Program of China (973 program, 2012CB821800) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 11473007, 11373038 and 11590782). It is also supported by the Strategic Priority Research Program (No. XDB09000000) from the Chinese Academy of Sciences. We made use of the NASA Astrophysics Data System Bibliographic Services (ADS) and also the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED), which is operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory.

References

- Baan, W. A., Wood, P. A. D., & Haschick, A. D. 1982, ApJ, 260, L49
- Baan, W. A., Rhoads, J., Fisher, K., Altschuler, D. R., & Haschick, A. 1992, ApJ, 396, L99
- Ball, J. A., Gottlieb, C. A., Lilley, A. E., & Radford, H. E. 1970, ApJ, 162, L203
- Baud, B., Habing, H. J., Matthews, H. E., & Winnberg, A. 1979, A&AS, 35, 179
- Braatz, J. A., Condon, J. J., Henkel, C., Lo, K.-Y., & Reid, M. J. 2009, in Astro2010: The Astronomy and Astrophysics Decadal Survey, Astro 2010 Science White Papers, 23
- Briggs, F. H. 1998, A&A, 336, 815
- Brunthaler, A., Reid, M. J., Falcke, H., Greenhill, L. J., & Henkel, C. 2005, Science, 307, 1440
- Caswell, J. L., Haynes, R. F., & Goss, W. M. 1980, Australian Journal of Physics, 33, 639
- Caswell, J. L., & Haynes, R. F. 1983, Australian Journal of Physics, 36, 361
- Caswell, J. L., & Haynes, R. F. 1987, Australian Journal of Physics, 40, 215
- Chen, P. S., Shan, H. G., & Gao, Y. F. 2007, AJ, 133, 496
- Clements, D. L., Sutherland, W. J., McMahon, R. G., & Saunders, W. 1996, MNRAS, 279, 477
- Darling, J., & Giovanelli, R. 2000, AJ, 119, 3003
- Darling, J., & Giovanelli, R. 2001, AJ, 121, 1278
- Darling, J., & Giovanelli, R. 2002, AJ, 124, 100
- Eder, J., Lewis, B. M., & Terzian, Y. 1988, ApJS, 66, 183
- Edris, K. A., Fuller, G. A., & Cohen, R. J. 2007, A&A, 465, 865
- Fernandez, M. X., Momjian, E., Salter, C. J., & Ghosh, T. 2010, AJ, 139, 2066
- Frail, D. A., Goss, W. M., Reynoso, E. M., et al. 1996, AJ, 111, 1651

- Green, A. J., Frail, D. A., Goss, W. M., & Otrupcek, R. 1997, AJ, 114, 2058
- Hewitt, J. W., Yusef-Zadeh, F., & Wardle, M. 2008, ApJ, 683, 189
- Jaffe, A. H., & Backer, D. C. 2003, ApJ, 583, 616
- Johansson, L. E. B., Andersson, C., Goss, W. M., & Winnberg, A. 1977, A&AS, 28, 199
- Kanekar, N., Carilli, C. L., Langston, G. I., et al. 2005, Physical Review Letters, 95, 261301
- Kim, D.-C., & Sanders, D. B. 1998, ApJS, 119, 41
- Le Fèvre, O., Abraham, R., Lilly, S. J., et al. 2000, MNRAS, 311, 565
- Lewis, B. M., Eder, J., & Terzian, Y. 1990, ApJ, 362, 634
- Li, D., Nan, R., & Pan, Z. 2013, in IAU Symposium, 291, Neutron Stars and Pulsars: Challenges and Opportunities After 80 Years, ed. J. van Leeuwen, 325
- Lo, K. Y. 2005, ARA&A, 43, 625
- Lockett, P., Gauthier, E., & Elitzur, M. 1999, ApJ, 511, 235
- Lockett, P., & Elitzur, M. 2008, ApJ, 677, 985
- McBride, J., & Heiles, C. 2013, ApJ, 763, 8
- Morganti, R., Greenhill, L. J., Peck, A. B., Jones, D. L., & Henkel, C. 2004, New Astron. Rev., 48, 1195
- Nan, R., Li, D., Jin, C., et al. 2011, International Journal of Modern Physics D, 20, 989
- Reid, M. J. 2002, in IAU Symposium, 206, Cosmic Masers: From Proto-Stars to Black Holes, eds. V. Migenes & M. J. Reid, 506
- Saunders, W., Sutherland, W. J., Maddox, S. J., et al. 2000, MNRAS, 317, 55
- Sevenster, M. N., Chapman, J. M., Habing, H. J., Killeen, N. E. B., & Lindqvist, M. 1997, A&AS, 122, 79
- Sevenster, M. N., van Langevelde, H. J., Moody, R. A., et al. 2001, A&A, 366, 481
- Spergel, D. N., Bean, R., Doré, O., et al. 2007, ApJS, 170, 377
- Szymczak, M., & Gérard, E. 2004, A&A, 423, 209
- te Lintel Hekkert, P. 1991, A&A, 248, 209
- Wardle, M., & McDonnell, K. 2012, in IAU Symposium, 287, Cosmic Masers - from OH to H0, eds. R. S. Booth, W. H. T. Vlemmings, & E. M. L. Humphreys, 441
- Weaver, H., Williams, D. R. W., Dieter, N. H., & Lum, W. T. 1965, Nature, 208, 29
- Weinberg, S. 1972, Gravitation and Cosmology (New York: Wiley)
- Whiteoak, J. B., & Gardner, F. F. 1974, Astrophys. Lett., 15, 211
- Willett, K. W., Darling, J., Spoon, H. W. W., Charmandaris, V., & Armus, L. 2011, ApJ, 730, 56
- Willett, K. W. 2012, in IAU Symposium, 287, Cosmic Masersfrom OH to H0, eds. R. S. Booth, W. H. T. Vlemmings, &E. M. L. Humphreys, 345
- Wouterloot, J. G. A., Brand, J., & Fiegle, K. 1993, A&AS, 98, 589
- Zhang, J. S., Li, D., & Wang, J. Z. 2012, in IAU Symposium, 287, Cosmic Masers - from OH to H0, eds. R. S. Booth, W. H. T. Vlemmings, & E. M. L. Humphreys, 350
- Zhang, J. S., Wang, J. Z., Di, G. X., et al. 2014, A&A, 570, A110